

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/088,265	03/18/2002	Hisashi Isaka	2002_0382A	5123
513	513 7590 02/03/2004		EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
وائد يا ما <del>لا</del>						
Office Action Cummons		10/088,265	ISAKA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,.,.		Patricia A. Short	1712   with the correspondence address			
Period fo						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the completion of the cause the application to become a cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed  irry (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>08 October 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	$\boxtimes$ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-30 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the E					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a					
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of John 7 10-102.			
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Company of the Compan	2 \$ 410(a) (d) or (f)			
a) * 13)□ .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included by CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies n domestic priority under 35 U.S. in the first sentence of the speci uage provisional application has domestic priority under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachme		<b></b>	(DTO 442) Dames Marks			
2) 🕅 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	0-948) 5) Notice (	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/088,265

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 13-30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the response filed October 8, 2003.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Japanese 2000-199936. The reference teaches the claimed polyorthoesters. See the abstract and compounds shown at pages 8-10, particularly compound 2-18 at page 10.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Helwing. The reference teaches polyorthoesters encompassed by the claims. See compound E3-II at col. 18. It is not clear how the claimed polyorthoesters would differ from the polyorthoester compound E3-II prepared by reacting compound E3-I and E1-II.

Art Unit: 1712

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by UK 1,128,963. The reference teaches polyorthoesters that can be prepared by reacting a lower alkyl orthoester with polyhydric alcohol followed by reacting with a different polyhydric alcohol. See page 4, line 42 through page 5, line 10 and compound X at page 6. The claimed polyorthoesters encompass the polyorthoesters taught by the reference.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK 1,128,963. The reference is discussed above. Additionally, at page 5, lines 5-10 polyols that include alpha and beta glycols and pentaerythritol are exemplified. As suggested by the reference, it would have been obvious to use two different polyols such as ethylene glycol and pentaerythritol, both exemplified by the reference, in the two step reaction process in order to obtain polyorthoesters.

P. Short January 22, 2004 Phone (571) 272-1094 Fax (703) 872-9306

> PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

> > Potrici a sit